

Author: Founder Srtechnology  
Date Created: Friday, May 15, 2020  
Applicability: Timeless  
email: founder@srtechnology.ca  
Website: www.srtechnology.ca

## **An Open Letter to the GLOBAL INDIVIDUAL:**

A Copy of this Letter may be found in downloadable .PDF format on the first page of the website under 'HOME' titled 'LETTER'.

Dear Individual,

This letter is about Democracy and its attainment.

First there needs to be some understanding of what HERE is to be understood by the concept of Democracy or Democratically. Generally speaking the word is just a buzzword meaning that a so called Democracy (as for example in the US) has no more meaning than that it is the public that has the ability to elect who or which 'party' will be controlling social realities for the next four year's. Thus in this letter, when using the word DEMOCRACY, DEMOCRACY shall mean the true concept of DEMOCRACY, that is, that (in brief) the characteristics of a society shall be determined by the populace of that society.

Second, over the many years of research it has become apparent that there are literally thousands of individuals who disseminate and advocate the need for individuals to become truly aware of the nature of societies (humanity) on the planet and the things that are harmful to human beings, the globe, its environment. and the populations. And indeed it can logically be stated that irrelevant of the subject, before a solution to anything that is perceived to need change or correction, can be found, formulated and/or proposed, the problem needs to be fully understood. It must also be logically stated that if (at least) a feasible 'Theoretical' proposal is not available or presented, there is no gain in understanding the perceived problem or ill and indeed may just be a hinderance. It is however also acknowledged that without proposals of solutions it is vital to understand the cause of the problems, so long as it is not the only concentration.

It is thus, that although this letter is about a theoretical (practically achievable) solution to global decline, a brief description of this solution's foundation is applicable. This simple reality is stated as follows.

All and EVERY ill and conceivable ill existing on the planet can be traced to a single concept or cause, which is :

"CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION":

"CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION" originally referred to as the "*ROOT CAUSE*" which, in concept are the same thing except that the "*ROOT CAUSE*" includes the misrecognition of the TRUE nature of a human being. This misrecognition is a vitally necessary component to create the environment of the practiced evil of "CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION"

'A short list of the conceived existing ills should be elaborated on as follows:

Blame, Hate, Retribution, Discrimination, war, Strife, Survival of the fittest, Competition, Global Warming, Carbon Footprint, Water poisoning, Pollution, Environment destruction, Habitat Destruction, Resource Depletion, Exploitation, The American Dream, Corporate supremacy, Hunger, Poverty, Human Suffering, Control of populations, Dictatorships, Oligarchies, Capitalism, Wealth Gap, Plagues and others.

## **A short Elaboration:**

A Society consists basically of three entities or components.

The first component is its individuals, the second component are the corporations and businesses and the third component is the Government.

CONSUMPTION is by individuals, whether at the top or bottom of the *WEALTH* pyramid.

PRODUCTION is created by Corporations.

Whatever is consumed CAN be produced and whatever is produced HAS to be consumed.

This consumption of products (and or services) range from food to housing, to protection, to pleasures, to entertainment etc. Generally the individual at the top of the *WEALTH* pyramid consumes hundreds of times more than the individuals near the bottom of the *WEALTH* pyramid, however, collectively the vast majority of consumption exists in the middle and lower portion of the *WEALTH* pyramid.

Next is the simple fact that every item consumed bears a price tag of not only the total cost of creating the item but an additional percentage for the 'profit' (as opposed to a salary) of the owner of the entity (corporations or businesses) and/or executives and/or shareholders and indeed primarily individuals. It may be falsely evaluated that this mechanism was desirable for all of the benefactors of the profits, if it was not for the simple fact that that is the exact reason for the existing, and exponentially increasing gap between the so called 'haves and have nots'. It is the vast majority of individuals in any society who have a job by which they earn their living so that they may be able to pay for the consumption of things that they require or desire. It is however the tiny few at the top of the *WEALTH* pyramid who are the benefactors of those individuals who work while they (at the top of the *WEALTH* pyramid) do not create any product or service other than developing methods (money making money) that they can derive profits from the people who work and consume by purchasing goods and services.

It is observable within the actions of the Corporation's with their mandate of ensuring the maximum benefit for the upper *WEALTH* of the pyramid, that they can not be relied upon to pursue initiatives that favor the individual or collective individual if it may reduce the flow of capital to the owners and shareholders. It can be seen that any initiative that they promise to undertake that appears to be a benefit to society is only a smokescreen making it appear to the public that they are socially responsible, which perception they may entertain if, and only if, it can increase the bottom line of the top of the *WEALTH* pyramid. Thus it is claimed that any desire or attempt to blame the corporations for the ills of the planet and more importantly to expect with hope and prayers that they are in any position to change the environment to operating on anything resembling a DEMOCRATICALLY orientated society. It is after all the Corporations who are the inventors of the "American Dream" pursued by the populace (as well as the plutocrats and *MONEY MANAGERS*) which is that concept that increases the flow of the demands of the populace increasing the consumption and hence production. Advertising is the method mainly developed to indoctrinate individuals to follow that "American Dream".

With the concept of consumption and production discussed above, would it be better to get Corporations, in order to create a more sustainable and humane society, to desire to stop or reduce the products they produce, that we as individuals want or desire and thereby force us to reduce our consumption. Would that in itself close the loophole that plutocrats use to live of the backs of society. No, it would not and very soon the populace would demand that the corporations again produce more to satisfy not only their demands but the plutocrats and *MONEY MANAGERS* demands as well. No it is therefore plain to see, that the simple task for the individual to understand and take responsibility for their excessive demands, that would be the only workable solution.

Thus what is left is that the only other entity that could create an environment that would be structured around a Democratically oriented society is the Government of that society. And indeed it is claimed that the ultimate power in any society is the Government, with the only restriction that they may have in exercising their power, is that it is dependent upon them having the support of the populace that they govern, or at least not their violent opposition. Throughout history it can be seen that many societies have collapsed and been destroyed by the populace, whether they have unjustly or justly rebelled against the authority of that Government thus destroying or collapsing the existing paradigm of that society. In a society where *WEALTH* is GOD, it is not the *WEALTH* itself but the power of the *WEALTH* of the upper sector of the *WEALTH* pyramid that holds the major portion of determination of who gets elected by the populace. Unquestionably, what their agenda will be, the support of the creation of *WEALTH*, and indeed the indoctrination of the populace into the ultimate attainment of happiness being to follow the 'American Dream' of more and infinite material and/or monetary possessions. Within the reality of all this, it can be seen that the natural intuition existing in a human being, is that their interest is not being addressed by anyone, corporation, government and the self interest of individuals. Their underlying consideration is that they have been left behind which creates the rebellion and desires to destroy the existing responsible structure and the existing powers. -- Full Circle to the top of "A short Elaboration"

The last point of importance in this section since the above seems to indicate that it is an impossible task to change the existing social decline given all of the things that can not be accomplished through appeal to and lobbying corporations, the government, NGO's or the other activists or individuals to do what needs to be done. And although this may be the case, there is one item that is easily attainable by individuals within any society. It is probably very true that the desired change can not be procured by corporations, Governments and whatever. But within the common cause that all ills are created by the principles of 'consumption and production' there is absolutely nothing standing in the way of any individual who can see the reality of the above to reduce their consumption of anything that they can see themselves do to reduce their demands on material things as long as it does not produce duress or self abnegation. Thus it is that if individuals collectively could reduce their consumption by x percent, the ills existing on the planet WOULD be reduced by x percent.

And although this letter was meant to be a discussion about a theoretical but practically attainable environment where a TRUE DEMOCRACY (in it's ultimate goal and destination) can be attained throughout our global societies, the basics of the above need to be understood. This DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY would place the power of the creation of a societies future in the hands of the public and individual, replacing the power of the elite, plutocrats, *MONEY MANAGERS*, *WEALTH*, private sector, elected or self imposed despots or the like.

One other thing that may be mentioned here is as follows: The so called DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY talked about could not possibly exist in the currently existing societies that are composed of the self interest of the individual without any concern, compassion and understanding of the suffering of others. As one pre-requisite that would have to be pre, or collaboratively implemented is the education required to change this individuals perception of what life and humanity is all about if a DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY is desired.

## The 'SR' Technology

The TRUE DEMOCRACY referred to at the introduction of this letter can be created by an existing framework of the creation of entities operating under the intent of the 'SR' (Social Responsibility) Technology with the definition of Technology being -- 'a way or method of accomplishing something'.

To Start with, the 'SR' Technology was designed around two basic principles, *SUSTAINABILITY* and *TRANSPARENCY*. Within that mandate it proposes the development and creation of an entity producing a product or service to society that can *TRANSPARENTLY* operate, giving the individual who is either a customer, member of an 'SR' organization or an individual who is fully *EDUCATED* in the operational parameters of the 'SR' entity, absolute verifiable *CERTAINTY* that the 'SR' organizations operational parameters are indeed to be a benefit to every *INDIVIDUAL* resulting in being a benefit to the collective *INDIVIDUAL* or society.

As the many issues that exist to confuse the individual within a society to benefit the top of the *WEALTH* pyramid, as many issues exist within the 'SR' Technology mostly expounded to in the document "'SR' The Social Responsibility Technology" (Release 02 - May 2018). that may be found on the website on Webpage 'An Overview of 'SR''. The main difference between what exists and what is proposed by the 'SR' Technology is the content of the issues. The rules and regulations guiding the operation of an 'SR' authorized entity exist today in plain English description to be composed by individuals familiar with the laws and regulation of the existing legal structure of a sovereign state (most likely legal entities already existing within that state's Government) where establishment of 'SR' is desired. Any law that is contrary to the required regulations of 'SR' can not be violated by accommodating the states law. Until a viable solution can be developed or implemented no authorization of 'SR' status can be granted in that jurisdiction. Strict rules apply that prohibit any alteration to any of the 'SR' rules and/or regulations that may jeopardize the intent of the 'SR' entity.

Since the finalization of the manual "'SR' The Social Responsibility Technology" (Release 02 - May 2018) and the establishment of the website [www.srtechnology.ca](http://www.srtechnology.ca) shortly thereafter, there have been additions and recognitions that have arisen. These clarifications and expansions, such as this 'Letter',. Webpage 'The Plain Truth', Webpage 'SR' Manifest', Webpage 'SR' Technology', and Webpage 'INVOCATION:' have been written since the creation of the website and do not alter any of the content of the main document "'SR' The Social Responsibility Technology" (Release 02 - May 2018) on Webpage 'An Overview of 'SR''. Their intent has been to simplify the concepts discussed in the main document and give an opportunity to individuals to become somewhat acquainted with the Intent of the 'SR' Technology before digging into the extensive data contained in "'SR' the Social Responsibility Technology" (Release 02 - May 2018).

For example in this letter the concept of all ills of the planet being 'Consumption and Production' are not discussed in "'SR' The Social Responsibility Technology" (Release 02 - May 2018). From the *INTENT* of the creation of a society where "*SUSTAINABLE SOCIAL ECONOMIC WELL BEING*" through creation of "*TRANSPARENCY AND SUSTAINABILITY*" this concept is no different from the recognition that the *ROOT CAUSE* of all social ills is:

- 1: the existing structure of the *ECONOMY* and;
- 2: the misrecognition of the true nature of the human being

Although this letter does not get into the details of the misrecognition of the TRUE Nature of the human being, a short comment should be introduced here. To the degree that an individual is fully aware of and cognizant of their TRUE Nature, to that degree would it be impossible to manipulate, enslave or otherwise indoctrinate them to their detriment or harm. Here, this fact

may be easily disputed. However it may create much more reality when consulting the Document "'SR' The Social Responsibility Technology" (Release 02 - May 2018). Whether the *MONEY MANAGERS* or plutocrats were consciously aware of this or not is not relevant but they certainly made the most out of that basic principle. With the power enlisted in them they directed the human beings ability to produce and create and usurped it to direct that ability towards the body stimulus response mechanism for survival through food, comfort and procreation. Thus the Human being was more and more convinced that they are no more than the animal, but just have a bigger brain, which makes them very susceptible to being controlled. Thus an early example was the pharaoh in Egypt who created a social environment where the populace had to do their bidding (like building their pyramids) in order to reek out a living; Then there were the Medieval Kings and Rulers who tried to use force and laws to control their populace by making them work for their (the kings and rulers) extravagant lifestyles, while they, the populace lived a wretched existence; Then there was the direct application of slavery. Finally today we find the *MONEY MANAGERS* who have gone underground while with their *WEALTH* and power they have created an environment where their *WEALTH* have given them the absolute power to create the "Corporation" (Capitalism) and sold the individual into the pursuit of the "American Dream". In other words the flow of money from the bottom, the worker getting a wage, to the top, the *MONEY MANAGERS*. The concept in all of those methods is to manipulate or force the populace into an existence of serving the masters, or in short a system of the type of slavery in force today (economic slavery). And with that very brief rundown it may become more real to see that the only way out of this type of slavery is to find and develop ways that the flow of money and/or assets from the working people to the millionaires, billionaires, trillionaires, plutocrats, oligarchs and *MONEY MANAGERS* can be broken. This of course can only be broken by the populace of the society understanding the system and operating under the principle of consumption and production.

At this point the structure of the 'SR' Technology rules and regulations are applicable for those who are more interested in pursuing the promises that the 'SR' Technology can make. For those who may wish to have some more information about the *INTENT* of this letter and its APPEAL the next lengthy heading (10 pages) "The 'SR' Sample Rules and Regulations:" may be skipped for later consultation and proceed with the section "The Path to a TRUE Democracy" (Page 15) and "The Appeal to you". (Page 18).

### **The 'SR' Sample Rules and Regulations:**

The Rules and Regulations listed here are taken from the main Document "'SR' The Social Responsibility Technology" (Release 02 - May 2018) from Chapter Eight - Bylaws. Noted here is that it should not really be called Bylaws since Bylaws have a connotation that breaking that Bylaw or Law has retributive consequences. This is a foreign concept within the 'SR' Technology.

In the main document as with most 'SR' document, words that are CAPITALIZED and italicized (*SAMPLE*) are used with the the concept defined in the 'SR' Dictionary, a copy of which can be found on Webpage - 'SR' Dictionary (Stand Alone) - in the 'SR' Material section.

The Main document however has a link attached to each 'SR' Defined word that can take you to the definition directly and then back to where you came from. This section however as well as the -'SR' Dictionary (Stand alone) -do not contain these links since the 'SR' Dictionary is not contained in this Letter. If desired however the -'SR' Dictionary (Stand alone)- 23 pages may be downloaded (a .PDF file) and printed for general reference.

**The 'Bylaws' from the Main Document "E02 An overview of 'SR'"** (Release 02 May 2018)

- **Bylaw Philosophy and Intent:**

The *INTENT* in founding “*SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY*” as a defined entity is to create an environment that can be relied upon to operate in a *SUSTAINABLE, SOCIALLY RESPONSIBLE* manner. Measurement and evaluation must always put social *SUSTAINABILITY* ahead of benefits to an individual or organization so long as it is not at the expense of either.

It is imperative that ‘SR’ is structured as a privilege that may be granted and withdrawn instead of a right to be insisted upon or demanded. ‘SR’ itself is an entity that may consist of many organizations. The ‘SR’ entity will be totally *RESPONSIBLE* for self-governance and regulation adhering to bylaws, acts and regulations for the purpose of protecting the entity. Every member in the ‘SR’ entity has a duty and *RESPONSIBILITY* to ensure that ‘SR’ as an entity is protected.

Social benefit, when used in association with ‘SR’, has a hierarchical structure from global to national to communal encompassing *ECONOMIC* and environmental factors. The underlying purpose of the concept of social benefit is to give the best possible lifestyle for the individual.

The underlying principle by which all bylaws shall be written and by which they can be tested is that ‘SR’s sole and only purpose is to show *INTENT* to provide the best possible quality at the lowest possible cost to the “consumer” of ‘SR’s *PRODUCTS* and/or services.

It must be emphasized that the goal is to achieve the ideal not because the ideal is considered attainable but the more idealistic the goal is, the closer we may arrive at its destination in our final conclusion. Many points below are undoubtedly very common knowledge to most professionals. With that in mind it is a collection of items that are required for correctly identifying the collective definition of ‘SR’. There may also be items that are listed that may not be considered pertinent to the bylaws section of ‘SR’. These may be more applicable in other *DOCUMENTS* such as a ‘SR’ act, rules and regulations etc.

- **Considerations:**

The following proposed bylaw concepts are primarily designed to indicate the *INTENT* of the concept. It shall be the responsibility of the lawyers and accountants, charged with creating the legal text and defining the accounting system, to ensure that no bylaw or attachment is generated which does not conform to all of the applicable laws of the land, nor violates any portion of the constitution, human rights code, labor laws, or any other applicable regulatory document. Emphasis should also be placed on the importance of creating legal verbiage that consists of wording as *SIMPLE* as possible.

- **Summary of the definition of *SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY***

‘SR’ is applicable only to non public service (government run) organizations that offer a consumable *PRODUCT* or service for sale to individuals, groups, corporations, the government or generally the society.

‘SR’ is an applied social *PHILOSOPHY* designed to improve the *SUSTAINABILITY* of *SOCIETY*.

‘SR’ is principally a balance between the for-profit model and the charitable model.

“For-profit” is defined by ‘SR’ as any monetary gain by any individual or group which is above “fair exchange for a service provided” as defined by the bylaws.

‘SR’ is bound to a clear distinction between cost of providing a *PRODUCT* or service and costs having other purposes beneficial to the *SOCIETY*.

Any purpose of ‘SR’ must be beneficial to the *SOCIETY* at large.

Any purpose benefiting an *INDIVIDUAL* and not the *SOCIETY* is counter to the *INTENT* of ‘SR’.

The customer must bear the full cost of the *PRODUCT* or service.

The cost of the *PRODUCT* may not bear any amount that is not associated with the production of the *PRODUCT*, cost of providing the service plus very predefined *PROFITS* detailed in the bylaws.

Any drift away from the principles of ‘SR’ towards the for-*PROFIT* model are counter to the *INTENT* of ‘SR’

Any drift away from the principles of ‘SR’ toward the *CHARITABLE* model is counter to the *INTENT* of ‘SR’.

Any action designed by someone to provide material gain or power over and above the *FAIR EXCHANGE* of services demanded by ‘SR’ is counter ‘SR’.

Any acceptance of a function or service provided by any *INDIVIDUAL* without that *INDIVIDUAL* receiving the *FAIR EXCHANGE* demanded by ‘SR’ is counter ‘SR’.

‘SR’ demands a demonstrated *INTENT* to provide the lowest cost *PRODUCT* or service to the customer that does not jeopardize the *FAIR EXCHANGE* to *INDIVIDUALS* engaged in the provision of that *PRODUCT* or service.

- **Test for ‘SR’ intent:**

The single most important element that should be used to test an organization’s or individual’s *INTENT* to be, or create ‘SR’, is the demonstrated desire (as opposed to willingness) to be accessible and open with any and all of its dealings, and operation. A close second element that must be used is a demonstrated desire to produce the lowest cost *PRODUCT* or service that does not jeopardize the *FAIR EXCHANGE* to individuals engaged in the provision of that *PRODUCT* or service.

- **Customer ownership:**

- **Intent:**

The ideal to strive for in this section is to entrust the customer with the “customer owned bylaws” and “ownership of the assets” of the organization. This structure is to endeavor to eliminate *VESTED INTEREST* of any individual or group to maximize their income or power over and above those allowed by the bylaws.

- **General:**

- (a) Under ‘SR’, customer is a general term including every member of the *SOCIETY*. As the *PRODUCTS* and services of an ‘SR’ organization may be purchased by government funds, the public at large is a customer. This is the (collective) customer who owns the assets of the ‘SR’ organization and ultimately is the greatest benefactor of the results of the ‘SR’ organization.
- (b) The user of the *PRODUCT* or service of the ‘SR’ organizations is “another” customer. A predefined group of these customers is charged with the ownership and protection of the “customer owned” bylaws.
- (c) Ownership of the assets of the ‘SR’ organization may not translate into ownership of capital on which returns may be collected. Assets may not generate returns for anyone.

- **Bylaw Ownership:**

Every bylaw is coded as either a “customer owned bylaw” or an “organization owned bylaw”

Any bylaw coded as a “customer owned bylaw” may only be changed, deleted or added to through a proxy vote by “eligible voting customers”.

Any bylaw directly defining the makeup of ‘SR’ will be defined as a “Customer owned bylaw”.

“Eligible voting customers” are a continually changing entity. The last 5,000 customers of the organization are to be classified as eligible voting customers.

The definition of “eligible voting customer” is the customer who is the user of the item purchased (or their designated guardian or individual having power of attorney or equivalent). It is not the individual or group who paid for the *PRODUCT*.

The last *PRODUCT* purchased will determine the owner’s (of the *PRODUCT* or service) status on the eligibility list

An eligible voting Customer’s vote has a value of one (1), regardless of how many *PRODUCTS* they own or use.

Under no circumstances are bylaws classified as “Customer owned bylaws” alterable by any of the organizations employees, individually or collectively.

- **Organization Ownership:**

1. The organization’s assets belong to the *SOCIETY* at large.

No individual or group is permitted to collect any *INTEREST* or dividends of any nature for ownership of any portion of the ‘SR’ organization’s assets.

The cost of *PRODUCT* or service may not contain any amount relating to a percentage of the organization’s assets for whatever reason.

- **Changes to customer owned bylaw:**

Materials to be included in invitation:

- (a) The wording and ID number of the original bylaw. (applicable for changes only)
- (b) The wording of the proposed bylaw.
- (c) The ID number if the proposed bylaw is an addition to existing bylaws.
- (d) The pros - The benefits that are envisioned which will assist the *INTENT* of ‘SR’.
- (e) The cons - The envisioned benefits to others – a complete list of individuals or organizations that benefit, with detailed (not *COMPLEX* description of what that benefit consists of. (Disclosure of counter ‘SR’ *INTENT*.
- (f) The statement of the watchdog committee verifying the details of its review and *AUTHORIZATION* that it confirms approval of ‘SR’ *INTENT*.
- (g) The address of the Internet site where discussion or opinions may be read and posted.
- (h) It is prohibited to offer any eligible voting customer (or anyone) any monetary or in-kind reward of any form for encouragement to vote either positively or negatively.

- **Process:**

- (a) Requests or suggestions for bylaw changes or additions may be made by any one or group of employees of the organization to be affected.



- (b) The organization's *ETHICS* office and management must review the request and verify that it is within the *INTENT* of 'SR'. Then formulate the changes and create the required paperwork for the watchdog committee.
- (c) The *ETHICS* office(r) must record its findings in the *ETHICS* file of the individual(s) involved in the request for bylaw changes.
- (d) The watchdog committee must verify that it is within the *INTENT* of 'SR'. It must then fill in the appropriate areas in the *DOCUMENTATION* and return it to management.
- (e) Management will determine the timing for presenting this package for vote by the eligible voting customers.
- (f) An invitation to the customer can not be implemented until the organization has a minimum of 5,000 eligible voting customers.
- (g) At least three month, from the date of mailing the invitation (every single invitation), must be allowed before the results may be finalized.
- (h) The voting form must be serialized with the details of the customer attached to the serial number of the form. The voting form is part of the attachment.
- (i) Abstentions from casting a vote must be considered a negative response.
- (j) A database must be kept and published of all form serial numbers documenting confirmed abstentions, confirmed negative responses, default negative responses and positive responses.
- (k) The voting results database may not be published until all of the results have been finally tabulated.
- (l) The voting results database must be published within seven days of the final tally of the votes
- (m) The results of the vote may not be implemented until thirty days after the voting results database has been published.

- **Accounting System:**

- **Intent**

The ideal behind this section is to aim for an accounting system that is *SIMPLE* yet comprehensive to the point of leaving nothing wanting:

- (a) The attachment detailing the accounting system of the organization is the only accounting system that may be used by an 'SR' organization.
- (b) Management may vote to add or delete categories or groups after they have been tabled to and approved by the watchdog committee of which the organization is a member.
- (c) Any inflow of funds to the organization must be limited to sale of *PRODUCT*, donations, sale of assets, and conventional loans.
- (d) Disbursements eligible for payment with donated funds are listed in the attachment
- (e) Any disbursements related to the cost of manufacturing a product or providing a service must be borne by the cost of the *PRODUCT* or service. A list of these items is found in the attachment.
- (f) Access to the complete financial status of the organization must be provided on the Internet.
- (g) Accounting data that is not published on the Internet may not be kept by anyone.
- (h) The price of the *PRODUCT* must always be broken down into detailing which dollar is applied to where.
- (i) Accurate verifiable statistical information about the reliability of the *PRODUCT*, as well as historical repair cost must be available

(j) The structure and size of all cash-flow accounts must be defined.

- **Loans:**

- (a) Any loan the organization has must be amortized over a fixed period not to exceed five years and must be paid off at the regular intervals so specified
- (b) The maximum *INTEREST* that may be paid on any loan is 5% of the prime rate above the prime rate.
- (c) Longer-term loans or investment capital may be requested by management based upon the guidelines of the attachment.
- (d) The funds so requested in (3) above must be thoroughly reviewed by the watchdog committee and may not be applied for or implemented until final approval from the committee.

- **The Organization:**

- **Intent :**

The ideal to strive for in this section is to develop the means that enable self regulating principles to exist within the 'SR' entity that will enable it to eliminate any attempt an individual or organization may initiate to discredit or degrade the *INTENT* of 'SR'.

- **General:**

- (a) The organization's assets may not be invested or owned by any individual or organization.
- (b) The assets of the organization belong to the *SOCIETY* and may only be used to further the *INTENT* and expansion of 'SR'.
- (c) Any form of competition with any 'SR' organization vying for monetary or positional authority is prohibited.
- (d) Any watchdog-sanctioned violations of 'SR' must be corrected within the time specified by the watchdog committee.
- (e) If the 'SR' status of an organization is withdrawn, all funds in its donations received account shall be transferred to a social account that will pay for the notification of all appropriate entities of the *FACT* that its 'SR' status has been revoked. The balance of the donations received fund may be applied for, using the applications form attached as part of the bylaws.

- **Laws of others:**

- (a) Law of the state in which an organization is to be founded are considered to be the absolute authority.
- (b) If the law of a state conflicts with any bylaw existing in the 'SR' *DOCUMENTATION AUTHORIZATION* must not be given until a resolution may be formulated. Some possibilities are presented:
  1. A change in 'SR' bylaw to accommodate the states law can only be considered if every existing watchdog committee has verified that the 'SR' *INTENT* is not violated by the proposed 'SR' bylaw changes. Standard procedures are followed.
  2. The state's government review its law's to find if it can accommodate the 'SR' bylaw.
  3. If neither of the above is possible 'SR' *AUTHORIZATION* will not be permitted for any entity in that state.

- (c) A Law of the state must be abided to, not by *PERCEPTION* but by full *INTENT* of obedience.
- (d) If the state law is deemed not to be in keeping with 'SR' *INTENT*, The 'SR' organization must publicly declare it's *RATIONALE* and proposal detailing it's activities to pursue the required changes to that law. Under no circumstances for whatever reason does this give the 'SR' entity any *RIGHT* to depart from full *INTENT* to abide by the law.
- (e) No non certified 'SR' corporation or entity may be dealt with that in its bylaws contains any counter 'SR' *INTENT*. To clarify - "counter 'SR' *INTENT*" does not necessarily or only refer to a bylaw that opposes 'SR' but any bylaw which counters any *INTENT* of the 'SR' technology.

- **Founding a new 'SR' organization:**

- (a) Individuals may not be given 'SR' status other than that automatically provided by their employment by an organization having 'SR' status.
- (b) Organizations wishing to apply for 'SR' status or individuals wishing to found a new 'SR' organization must apply for the privilege of receiving 'SR' status.
- (c) The sample form for this application is attached as part of the bylaws.
- (d) The acceptance of and implementation into its bylaws of the "'SR' Bylaw Requirements" are the default requirements for acceptance.
- (e) Any alterations, deletions or additions must be clearly identified with explanations showing clear evidence of desire to enhance the *INTENT* of 'SR' or *RATIONALE* that the exiting item is not workable for the organizations planned activities.
- (f) Any such deviation must be carefully scrutinized by the watchdog committee that the applicant will be a member of, if it is granted 'SR' status.
- (g) An organization that is founding and receives funds from any source for the establishment of facilities that will sell a *PRODUCT* or service must calculate these funds as part of the cost price of that *PRODUCT* or service.
- (h) Estimated unit sales for a period of no greater than five years must be divided into the funding received to establish a unit amount to be included in each unit of *PRODUCT* or service.
- (i) As the *PRODUCTS* or services are sold the calculated amount shall be transferred to the 'donations received' account.

- **'SR' statistics::**

- (a) 'SR' statistics is a method of keeping track of, recording and publishing the standing of the organization as a whole as well as every employee within the organization.
- (b) 'SR' statistics are maintained by strict adherence to the 'SR' statistics manual that shall form part of this section of the bylaws.
- (c) 'SR' statistics for every employee shall be maintained by that employee's superior.
- (d) 'SR' statistics for the president and the organization shall be maintained by the watchdog member.
- (e) 'SR' statistics are to be electronically maintained, to eliminate the possibility of any attempt to publish them at some later date. The data shall be published automatically as soon as the data is entered into the system.

- **Watchdog Committee:**

- (a) The purpose of the watchdog committee is to oversee the ‘SR’ statistics of all of the organizations represented by the committee.
- (b) The organizations represented by the committee are the organizations that the members belong to.
- (c) When a watchdog member is part of a committee of at least three organizations, it is the watchdog committee who must rule on the change of status of a watchdog member in their organization, based only on the evaluation of the member’s ‘SR’ statistics. The committee shall monitor the ‘SR’ statistics of all organizations of that committee.
- (d) The committee shall report its findings to the appropriate organization’s management.

- **Watchdog member:**

- (a) The watchdog member of the organization shall keep ‘SR’ statistics by strict adherence to the ‘SR’ statistics manual that shall form part of this section of the bylaws.
- (b) At least one non-management employee as determined by management shall be named an officer of the watchdog committee.
- (c) The duties of the watchdog individual may be split with the duties of their regular employee function and/or (especially in small organizations) may be split between the *ETHICS* Office.
- (d) Once assigned, management, of the organization of which the watchdog member is an employee of, has no authority or power to dismiss the employee or reduce their status as watchdog member.
- (e) Not more than 2 ½ percent of the *PRODUCT* and or service cost may be allotted to pay for expenses incurred by the watchdog member including their wages.
- (f) If a greater portion of resources need to be allotted, it may be paid out of the donation’s fund, or alternately watchdog items of lower priority must be deferred for later handling.
- (g) Within an organization where a watchdog member is the sole member of the watchdog committee, all employees must vote on decisions of dismissal or change of status of the watchdog member, but only by evaluation of the watchdog member’s ‘SR’ statistics.
- (h) The watchdog member shall evaluate any unresolved issues presented by the *ETHICS* officer.

- **Employee relations:**

- **Intent:**

The ideal to strive for under this section is of several factors; first is to provide a *FAIR EXCHANGE* for every individual’s participation in their field of expertise. Overpayment is just as unacceptable to ‘SR’ as are services provided to ‘SR’ that are not properly compensated. Secondly it is not only the duty, but *RESPONSIBILITY* of ‘SR’ to provide an environment where respect instead of superiority and submissiveness exists in both directions between upper management and the lowest levels of employment. This respect can not be assumed by some pat statement like “every employee is a valued member of our organization” but concrete steps documented which can be followed and which are not only measurable but ‘are’ measured and publicized. Thirdly where every function of every employee is known and documented and steps are implemented and in place that accurately show to which level they are performing that function.

- **General:**

- (a) Every member of the organization is an employee who is paid a salary for their service.
- (b) The employee’s status of ‘SR’ is attained by the act of being hired by an organization having ‘SR’ status.

- (c) The employee's 'SR' status is automatically forfeited upon termination of employment of any type or by the loss of 'SR' status of the organization of which the individual is an employee.
- (d) The salary an employee is paid must be within plus or minus ten percent of the national (or regional) average as published by (Statistics Canada?) for that function.
- (e) Employees who have managerial positions bearing responsibilities increasing and decreasing with the size of the organizations may receive wages which fall to any level below but may not receive a level above ten percent of the national average as published by (Statistics Canada) for that or equivalent function. This lower scale must be representative of the scale in the attachment.
- (f) Incentives and rewards are permissible but their nature must comply with the guidelines in the attachment, be *AUTHORIZED* by the watchdog committee and publicized before they are implemented or rewarded.
- (g) *CHARITABLE* or voluntary services may not be accepted and are prohibited.

- **Superior, Subordinate relations:**

1. The structure of this relationship shall be identical from the lowest level to the highest level of management.
2. The structure of superior to subordinate between the highest level and lowest level is a strict vertical structure from a communications and authority point of view.
3. Any employee who has a superior and a subordinate has the following basic functions:
  - (a) Obtain technical information from their subordinates required by them or their superiors to make appropriate administrative decisions.
  - (b) Pass on, with or without recommendations or observations, any information presented by their subordinate requiring administrative decisions beyond their jurisdiction to their superior.
  - (c) Obtain from their superiors the administrative information they need to provide the tools to their subordinates that they require in order to perform their function.
  - (d) Pass on to the subordinate, with or without recommendations or observations, any administrative decisions received from their superior affecting the duties and performance of their subordinates.
  - (e) It is the *RESPONSIBILITY* of every individual to not only respect the expertise and professional behavior of every superior and subordinate, but equally demand respectful behavior from any superior as well as any subordinate.

High and low level may not be corrupted into an evaluation of quality or quantity.

The highest level is the one that bears the most *RESPONSIBILITY* to the proper function of 'SR', therefore the most power and the highest remuneration.

The lowest level is the one that bears the least *RESPONSIBILITY* to the proper function of 'SR', therefore the least power and lowest remuneration.

All communication between an individual, their superior and subordinate must be in writing before it may be acted upon or indeed be assigned any degree of credibility. "If it is not written it is not true" shall be a *PHILOSOPHICAL* concept to be pursued.

Verbal communications may be documented by others provided a copy of the *DOCUMENTATION* is presented to the originator.

Unless specifically contained in the job description of an individual the documenting of a communication shall be the *RESPONSIBILITY* of the superior. If not defined to be in the job description of an individual, it becomes their superior's *RESPONSIBILITY* to document it. However at any time that the subordinate wishes to document their own communication they have the right to do so whether in their job description or not.

Bypassing is defined as an employee communicating to another employee above their superior or below their subordinate about matters relating to the needs to change something or problems encountered in the operation of business. By this definition bypassing is prohibited.

Detailed in the attachments is a mechanism provided that allows communication above one's superior or below one's subordinate.

- **Conditions of Employment:**

1. Final employment of any individual is acknowledged only after the signing of the employee contract document by both employer and employee. The employees contract document is part of the attachment.
2. Section one of the contract deals with the job specific functions required by the individual.
3. Section two of the contract deals with the 'SR' concepts as they relate to the employee. This section details the *RESPONSIBILITIES* of the employee and the *RESPONSIBILITIES* of the organization in relation to 'SR'.
4. The signature of the employee is to verify that the employee has studied and *UNDERSTANDS* all of the material that has been presented to them.
5. The signature of the employer is to verify that the employer (as represented by the superior of the employee at time of employment) is satisfied that to the best of their knowledge the employee's signature represents their *INTENT*.

- **Ethics Office(r):**

1. The *ETHICS* office(r) is *RESPONSIBLE* for documenting and ruling on issues of employee complaints, requests/suggestions for policy changes or any other matter that may or may not be 'SR' related.
2. The routing of information to the *ETHICS* office shall be as outlined on forms in various attachments
3. Before an item may be processed by the *ETHICS* office(r) both affected parties must have agreed that they are unable to resolve the issue.
4. If agreement that *ETHICS* office(r) action is required can not be achieved, either party may use the bypass procedure to obtain *ETHICS* office(r) processing.
5. The *ETHICS* officer is appointed by management but must have approval from the 'SR' watchdog committee.
6. Removal or change of status of the *ETHICS* officer may only be affected by the 'SR' watchdog committee of which the organization is a member.

- **Employee Statistics:**

Every employee in an 'SR' entity from the lowest rank to the highest rank has two sets of statistics. The first is a statistic of their functional responsibilities and job description. The second is in their intent and application of their responsibility and adherence to the intent of 'SR'. These statistics are public information (as is any and all of 'SR' activities and records) kept current by the employees supervisor and approved by the ethics officer.

- **Publication:**

- **Intent:**

The ideal to strive for under this section is to achieve the ultimate ability to demonstrate an organization's *INTENT* to represent 'SR'. The *PHILOSOPHY* being, that under 'SR' there is no known reason for any data of any kind to exist in the organization which must be hidden by labeling it proprietary or anything else: It is however recognized and accepted that certain law's may exist which would prevent an 'SR' organization from publishing specific items. An *INTENT* to incorporate these laws or regulations into 'SR' is as paramount to the whole concept of 'SR' as is the desire to meet the *INTENT* of 'SR'. The ideal is to recognize and eliminate any attempt to use an existing law as a smokescreen to *JUSTIFY* an actual *INTENT* to circumvent some aspect of 'SR' for personal gain or power.

- **Publications:**

- (a) Every *DOCUMENT* published by 'SR' must have the following characteristics whether electronic or hard copy.
  - Page numbers.
  - A table of content.
  - An index (where applicable).

- **Internet:**

- (a) All data that is required by the bylaws to be published shall be published on the Internet.
- (b) All data shall be current with a definition of "current" meaning within a maximum time of 7 days within the actual occurrence of the event.
- (c) Minimum requirements for the organization of the data and the requirements to make it easily accessible are detailed in the attachment.

## **The Path to a TRUE Democracy:**

There are probably thousands of individuals (globally) who in some way are aware of the urgent need for changes to take place in societies that are conceived to need correction or improvements. From that huge volume, only a small portion, maybe several hundred have been investigated, in an effort to find a common source for all of the ills on the planet. Instead of the multitude of individuals who conceive only of the so-called symptoms of that sought common source. Things like appeal from governments or demands from Government for funding of the multitude of institutions existing, or demands for Government funding for the attainment of the "American Dream". have been found in abundance. Even things like global warming, wealth gap and many others (more listed elsewhere - see page 1 of "An Open Letter to the GLOBAL INDIVIDUAL:") have to be considered symptoms instead of common parameters embodied in all of those symptoms. They are symptoms, since reducing or eliminating them will only affect that particular symptom or maybe a few others but not the totality of the existing so called global decline. From all of this data accumulated in 20+ years of research has unveiled the (Root

Cause) or common source of such global decline and set the groundwork of the theoretical (but indeed practical) development of the 'SR' Technology as it stands today.

As all of these volumes of data were analyzed, there was a far more important observation (to what is wrong) that arose and became omnipresent. Let us take a percentage of individuals for example of a population of approximately 330 Million people of the United States and take from that a percentage of .00001 percent being 33 individuals (a tiny portion indeed). It however does not reveal itself that there are 33 individuals or organizations within the borders of this country who are able to dissertate or propose concrete solutions to be implemented nor propose a PATH that can be followed to create what is necessary to reverse the trend of all of the existing knowledge of what needs to be or should be done to reverse the trend of all of the things that are known to be counter-survival.

There exist some proposals which could well become a part of a PATH, but in isolation they are not a PATH nor a solution in itself. Even as such if these suggestions and/or proposals could be incorporated into a PATH, with the fragmentation of all of the individuals and organizations who have a suggestion that could formulate a PATH there does not seem to exist any entity that has an interest or mandate to co-ordinate these efforts and proposal. What however does appear that all of those existing proposals and/or suggestions of what is necessary are independently vying for supremacy and seemingly claiming that their efforts are a stand alone solution.

By PATH is meant that in order to create the ideal society of a DEMOCRATIC society there is not one simple or difficult answer but a progression that may be followed from one end to the other or the beginning to end concept.

If the discussion of the section "A short Elaboration" in the beginning of this letter has any validity it must have become apparent that for the initial requirement of the PATH relying and lobbying Corporations, Governments or the Elite and '*MONEY MANAGERS*' is a futile effort that can not produce any forward motion. It is thus that any benefit to the creation of a DEMOCRATIC society has to be initiated by the individual individually and collectively within that society. And thus the entry point to the PATH would be to disseminate, *EDUCATE* and create reality to individuals about the state of the society and globe, making them aware for the need to achieve what the end of the PATH may look like. The end of the PATH is today only a hazy awareness. However the three items above (being dissemination, education and crating reality or awareness) have a priority and are applicable to the process of the entire PATH, no more required at the beginning than the end. Only the description at the beginning of this letter describing what is meant by DEMOCRACY can be said to be part of that end of the PATH.

There is one other issue that should be pointed out here. Not only are the difficulties eluded to above, but there is one other VERY important issue. That is the lack of TRANSPARENCY (one of the aims that the 'SR' Technology faces head on). With this lack of TRANSPARENCY, it becomes very difficult, even if not impossible to ascertain which of the individuals and/or organizations have as their TRUE intent the desire to find solutions to global decline and which or who does so with a desire to enrich themselves with the same actions used today by corporations and Governments as discussed in "A short Elaboration" above.

A note should be entered here as to the statement about "enriching themselves" in the previous sentence.

An Important Note: Within the 'SR' Technology, at a substantial existence of 'SR' entities the Rules and Regulations within an 'SR' entity provide for a fair remuneration for individuals participating in the creation of a DEMOCRATICALLY functioning society and non remunerated charity is not acceptable within an 'SR' authorized entity (see -Employee Relations-, -Intent-, -General-, item (g) in "The 'SR' Sample Rules and Regulations" above on Page 13) .

Looking at the end or final solution on this PATH, it is not here claimed that the 'SR' Technology is the end or final implementation of the PATH. It is not a matter of 'SR' being the



final solution but being a contributor to finding and defining the PATH that can lead to the final solution for a DEMOCRATICALLY functioning society.

It is here, with this Letter, simply a matter of attempting to contact as many individuals, not only those who have formulated some sort of solution or recommendation but also those who's TRUE mission is to disseminate, *EDUCATE* and/or create awareness of the true state of existing parameters that run the world and its populations. Furthermore it is an effort to lobby individuals to reduce or abandon their efforts and determination to make Governments, Corporations, NGO's and other organizations responsible for the correction of things that ail the planet. At the same time to create awareness in individuals that as impossible as it is to create the awareness and action in Governments, Corporations NGO's and other organizations as possible and easy it is for the individual to decide to among other things:

1. Reduce even by a small fraction the pursuit of the 'American Dream' that more is bliss and reduce their own consumption by even some small fraction.
2. To take, even a small portion of their time currently used to follow the 'American Dream' to disseminate (not by coercion but with compassion and understanding of the peoples plight) that it is truly possible to create a DEMOCRATICALLY organized society by the power of the people.
3. Demonstrations and peaceful demands should be reserved for SYMPTOMS antithetical to the current global paradigm such as wars, discrimination, concepts of us and them and the like.
4. Place more emphasis on working together as opposed to working for self.
5. Place emphasis on local production whether in a community in an urban environment or in a rural environment.
6. Possibly some actions of civil disobedience that does not contradict an existing LAW or one to be created.
7. Finally, take to heart the words of activist Maude Barlow (national chairperson of the Council of Canadians) "Do not listen to those who say there is nothing you can do to the very real and large social issues of our time" etc.

Some items that may exist in individual's or organization's efforts to create a better society. These and other items might possibly be items that could be incorporated in developing a PATH from what exists today to the final end to where the PATH could lead to effective change. More about the PATH in the next section 'The Appeal to You'.

The following list is not in any way a list of the most influential or important individuals contributing to the creation of a better society and certainly not a list of individuals or organizations who expound on sources of the existing deterioration or solutions to the problems that they envision. It is just a small sample.

- Creation of community based groups. (Such as proposed by Libertarianism)
- Community building (various individuals and organizations)
- The negative aspects of indoctrinated concepts of 'competition' (Alfie Kohn)
- Concepts of a 'Resource based Economy' (as disseminated by Jacques Fresco (deceased) and co-founder Arlene Meadows of the Venus Project.
- Greta Thunberg - incredible child activist mobilizing youth and individuals worldwide to become aware of the dire consequences of "GLOBAL WARMING".
- Stuart Scott - Global Warming activist who introduced Greta Thunberg to the United Nations meeting at COP24
- Develop and support people and groups willingness to fully support *TRANSPARENCY* and *SUSTAINABILITY*
- The ESRA project (Environmental Social Responsibility Amen<sup>u</sup>endment) to the U.S. constitution. (Rabbi Michael Lerner of Tikkum.org)

- The 'Global Peace Plan' (Rabbi Michael Lerner and Cat Zavis of Spiritual Progressives.org).
- Yanis Varoufakis - Former Greek Finance Minister and founder of Greek political party DiEM25 (Democracy in European Movement for 2025) and world activist for replacement of Capitalism with Socialism (the true Socialism for individuals as opposed to the existing Socialism for the *WEALTHY*).
- The place on the PATH for the 'SR' Technology.
- Peter Joseph - Activist, creator of the Zeitgeist movement and independent filmmaker. creator of the Zeitgeist film series. Taking apart market capitalism.
- Nathan Hagens - former 'dealer' on the stock exchange graduating to University professor activist.
- Abby Martin - Journalist, activist and founder of the interview series 'The Empire Files'.
- Naomi Klein - social activist known for her political analysis and criticism of globalization and capitalism.
- Foster Gamble - co-creator of the movie "Thrive" (what on earth will it take to thrive).
- Dr. Gabor Maté (The study of the source of addiction and criminality)
- Bill Moyers - Journalist and creator of website 'https://billmoyers.com' 'Moyers and Company'.
- John Perkins - Confessions of an Economic Hit Man.
- Chris Hedges - Human Rights Journalism, writer about global terrorism etc.
- Zain Raza (Senior Editor of AcTVism Munich).

## **The Appeal To You:**

This Appeal is a dual appeal that may apply to every individual on the Planet depending on your or their status or situation.

Both of the appeals are based on the following evaluation of the current situation of the desires that probably most 'activists' are attempting to communicate to the public. At best the responses they get consist of voicing accolades, approvals or encouragement to continue with their efforts. These frivolous communications rarely contain offers of assistance or help. And in today's world of social media 'likes' and frivolous communications the author (activist) becomes overwhelmed by having to manage the meaningless communications and wishes which they receive and therefore do not wish to be contacted by the public directly. Thus it becomes understandable that when they become a certain size and have a larger presence within the society at large they form a group of individuals and assign the responsibility of communicating to the many individuals who have become a burden to their dissemination. The inevitable result of the individual activists 'purpose' is that they become somewhat isolated from the masses and that the group responsible for handling the communications tends to concentrate (even if not purposely) on their response to the communications as follows. To a large extent the response to individuals by the group formed by the activist is to inform the individual of upcoming events or lectures by the activist and inviting them to register (for what purpose?) to join, make a donation or lobby institutions or organizations to invite the activist to present a lecture (usually with remuneration) at their institution or organization or otherwise disseminate their own knowledge.

Thus the first appeal is twofold; First is an appeal to individuals who (at the time of reading this).still have a personal contact to the activist and **REFRAIN** from their frivolous communications of acknowledgements, accolades and otherwise kind words if they have nothing

else to offer. And please understand that it is here understood that their desire to do so is with good intentions but should be understood to be a possible burden it places on the activist. Second is an appeal to individuals who may still have a direct communication line to other activists to either inform them of the existence of the website [www.srtechnology.ca](http://www.srtechnology.ca) or maybe send them a copy of this letter. The main purpose of this portion of the appeal is an attempt to unify and consolidate individuals who are activists and have some sort of solution (or not) to the real problems of the globe. This appeal is a desire to create and disseminate a realistic PATH from where the current state of the globe is to an envisioned destination. This of course assumes it is true that it would be an impossible task to skip from the current state to the final destination without a clearly defined PATH. A united front and coordinated PATH that can be presented to the societies is far more powerful than the individual concepts, 'SR' Technology or not.

The second nature of this appeal is very much in line with the first components of the appeal. It is however a more direct appeal to assist individuals who have formed organizations or proposals to unite and be able to come together directly to develop and propose a PATH that is not necessarily (and probably not) the only solution, but consults and incorporates all that may have something to offer to create a PATH that can be followed by all citizens of the planet. This appeal is that individuals who have a direct communication channel to other individuals by consent and common interests make appeals to those individuals (without duress or relentless persuasion) to share their personal contact information with other individuals who wish to peruse the initiative of finding or developing a common and agreed upon perceived PATH without individual vested interests.

---

Thus, finally we have come to a place where this letter must end before it gets to be a place where an attempt is made to recreate the original Document "E02 'SR' The Social Responsibility Technology (Release 02 - May 2018). After all this letter was designed to give a brief Overview of what the basic content of the 'SR' Technology consists of plus the very important Appeal to the GLOBAL individual for action as well as existing 'activist' entities to consolidate their efforts. For those individuals who persisted in the STUDY of the information contained in this letter and hopefully the data contained on the website, <http://srtechnology.ca> a many times heartfelt THANK YOU.

Let us create determination to investigate if we can not come up with a way to develop workable solutions that can create existing societies and maybe the dream of a GLOBAL society based upon "*SUSTAINABLE* social *ECONOMIC* well being", where individuals can be free from the duress imposed by the self centered OPRESSORS and despots and determine OUR own collective destinies. Lastly let us attempt to accomplish this dream by the responsibility that we may take, and have the power to make it happen as opposed to blaming others demanding that it is their responsibility to fix things. Hopefully we will understand that there is no greater power on earth than the poser of the collective individual for anything that can be considered to be a benefit to the collective global individual.

With much *COMPASSION* and hope,

Yours,

Founder Srtechnology.